DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE BILL ANALYSIS

AMENDMENT DATE: May 27, 2011 BILL NUMBER: AB 743 POSITION: Neutral, note concerns AUTHOR: M. Block

SPONSOR: California Community College Chancellor's

Office

BILL SUMMARY: Community Colleges: Student Assessments

This bill would require the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges to create a common assessment data system for community college student assessment and placement. Specifically, the system would: (1) provide a single common assessment instrument for California community colleges (CCC) in the areas of English, mathematics, and English as a second language; (2) create a secure data warehouse that would collect student assessment scores and available K-12 assessment data and transcript information of participating students; and (3) establish an internet web-portal that provides community college personnel and students access to specified assessment data, pretests, and advisement tools.

The bill would also require the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) to: (1) collaborate with the State Department of Education (SDE) and California State University (CSU) when developing the common college-readiness assessment standard, and (2) report to the Governor and the Legislature on the progress of implementing the common assessment system by December 31, 2012.

FISCAL SUMMARY

Depending on the scale of the project, the CCCCO estimates this bill would cost \$1 million to \$10 million to develop a common assessment system and a centrally housed assessment data warehouse. Specifically, the costs would vary depending on the number of assessment tools developed and whether they were developed in-house or through a vendor. Currently, the CCCCO indicates that it would pursue a scaled down approach using vendor assessments that would cost closer to \$1 million. The CCCCO also estimates annual ongoing costs of approximately \$5 million for on-going system review, assessment administration, and reporting-related activities. However, the CCCCO anticipates that a common assessment system would generate unspecified Proposition 98 General Fund savings for the CCC by allowing them to utilize a centralized assessment database rather than purchasing assessment tools individually.

The bill states that the development of this project is operative upon the receipt of state, federal, or philanthropic funds sufficient to cover the costs of the common assessment system. The CCCCO received a \$500,000 grant from the Hewlett and Gates foundations that is available to partially fund development costs. To date, approximately \$150,000 has been used for initial project scoping activities and the remaining \$350,000 is available for future development costs of the assessment score data warehouse.

The Chancellor's Office notes that the state level requirements of collaborating with the SDE and CSU and submitting a report to the Governor and Legislature are absorbable within existing resources.

COMMENTS

The Department of Finance is neutral on this bill because the Chancellor's Office has indicated that the project can be funded within existing resources and that a common assessment system could generate

Analyst/Principal (0331) E. Hanson	Date	Program Budget Manager Nick Schweizer	Date	
Department Deputy D	irector	Date		
Governor's Office:	Ву:	Date:	Position Approved Position Disapproved	
BILL ANALYSIS			Form DF-43 (Rev 03/95 Buff)	
		40 45 040400 0 00 444		

Form DF-43

M. Block May 27, 2011 AB 743

some ongoing efficiencies by allowing CCC to access a centralized assessment tool at reduced costs; however we note the following concerns:

- Given the current budget constraints, additional state resources are not available to pursue this
 project at any cost. However, to the extent that non-state resources become available or existing
 Proposition 98 General Fund resources can be used to develop and implement the project, the
 funding concerns could be alleviated.
- Chapter 473, Statutes of 2008 (SB 946, Scott), expanded the Early Assessment Program (EAP) to
 include the CCC as an efficient means of utilizing an existing system to assess incoming CCC
 students. While we recognize the limitations of the EAP, we are cautious about pursuing another
 assessment system that may or may not meet the needs of the CCC since any potential savings
 would be dependent on the CCCs utilizing this common assessment system rather than
 purchasing their own assessment instruments.

Current law requires community colleges to provide matriculation services that include assessment and counseling or advisement for all nonexempt students in math and language skills. Currently, the governing board of each community college district is authorized to select an assessment tool from an approved list provided by the CCCCO.

According to the author's office, absent a uniform method for assessing incoming students, students and colleges are faced with increased costs and reduced efficiency since many of the colleges only recognize their own tests and require students who were previously tested at other community colleges to be retested. This practice results in frustration for students, and duplicative and costly testing by community colleges.

SB 946 expanded the EAP operated by the CSU, in conjunction with the SDE, to include the CCC. The EAP evaluates the readiness of high school students in grade 11 for college-level English and mathematics. The CSUs and CCCs may waive the need for basic skills coursework if a student tests high enough on the EAP enhanced questions. We also note that the enhanced questions utilized by CSU may be administered by CCC without additional payments to a testing contractor.

However, the CCCCO asserts that the EAP is not a sufficient assessment instrument because: (1) it does not reflect the appropriate information necessary for specific course placement for students entering college; (2) not all high school students will take the EAP because it is voluntary; and (3) many students may have higher placements when retesting at the community college level since they would have completed an additional year of high school.

The Budget Act of 2011 provides the CCC \$49.2 million Proposition 98 General Fund for matriculation services. The Chancellor's Office suggests that the savings generated by the common assessment system would free-up matriculation resources that could be used to fund the project at the local level.

	SO	(Fiscal Impact by Fiscal Year)					
Code/Department	LA	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Agency or Revenue	CO	PROP					Fund
Туре	RV	98	FC	2011-2012 FC	2012-2013 FC	2013-2014	Code
6870/Comm College	SO	No	No No/Minor Fiscal Impact 000			0001	
6870/Comm College	LA	Yes	Yes See Fiscal Summary 000		0001		